

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| . 10/602,116 | 06/23/2003 | Hanno Ulrich | DE920010077US1 | 9096 |
| Floyd A. Gon | 7590 07/13/200 zalez . | 7 | EXAMINER | |
| IBM Corporation | | | SHARON, AYAL I | |
| 2455 South R Poughkeepsie | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2123 | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/13/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/602,116 | ULRICH, HANNO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ayal I. Sharon | 2123 | |

| | Ayal I. Sharon | 2123 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | iress – |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in the statutory period for repl | the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) is with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for | of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C must be filed within one th in the final rejection, wh | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following nichever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Offi | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see Nw); ter form for appeal by materially | OTE below); reducing or simplifying | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | : The 35 USC 112, second parag | graph rejections of clain | ns 1-6. |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented. | peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | |
| See Continuation Sheet. | (DTO (OD (OO) Dagge No (a) | | / _ |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | | PAUL RODRIGUE UPERVISORY PATENT E ECHNOLOGY CENTER | XXIVIIACLI |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The independent claims still lack a useful result. Storing "at least the value X", where X is a set of entry control quantities, is not a useful result, because it is not clear what these "entry control quantities" represent. In regards to the dependent claims 2,4, and 6, paragraph 13 of the Final Office Action stated that "Dependent claims 2, 4, and 6 appear to recite a specific practical utility, but it is not clear how they relate to the independent claims. The dependent claims recite that the 'control quantities are central processor utilizations in a computer system model', however, it is not clear which of the parameters in the independent claims correspond to the 'control quantities' claimed in the dependent claims" This problem has not been resolved in the after-final claim amendments.